



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/031,151

01/14/2002

Aaron Hal Dinwiddie

RCA 89642

6303

7590 06/08/2009  
Joseph S Tripoli  
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc  
PO Box 5312  
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

FEATHERSTONE, MARK D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2423

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/08/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |   |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/031,151    | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DINWIDDIE ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>MARK D. FEATHERSTONE | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2423                 |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK D. FEATHERSTONE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Fideliz Romero. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired about a missing IDS that was filed with the application 01/12/2002. The office acknowledged receipt of the IDS in the Notice of Acceptance mailed 03/28/2002, however the document does not appear in the file at this time. Examiner has submitted an inquiry with scanning customer support who will investigate the issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Y Koenig/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423